arXiv:1401.6558v2 [math.GR] 6 Feb 2014

ASYMPTOTIC DENSITY OF RATIONAL SETS IN FREE ABELIAN GROUPS

ANTON MENSHOV

Abstract. In this paper we study asymptotic density of rational sets in free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^n$ of rank n. We show that any rational set R in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ has asymptotic density. If R is given by its semi-simple decomposition we show how to compute its asymptotic density.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. **Rational sets.** Let M be a monoid, the class of *rational* subsets of M is the least class $\mathfrak{R}$ of subsets of M satisfying the following conditions:

(1R) $\emptyset, \{m\} \in \mathfrak{R}$ for $m \in M$.
(2R) If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{R}$ then $X \cup Y \in \mathfrak{R}$.
(3R) If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{R}$ then $XY = \{xy \mid x \in X,\ y \in Y\} \in \mathfrak{R}$.
(4R) If $X \in \mathfrak{R}$ then $X^* = \bigcup\limits_{n \geq 0} X^n \in \mathfrak{R}$.

If M is a free finitely generated monoid, then according to Kleene's theorem the rational sets are precisely the subsets of M recognizable by finite state automata.

We may also define the smaller class of *unambiguously rational* subsets of M by leaving condition (1R) but replacing conditions (2R)-(4R) by stronger conditions (2UR)-(4UR) as follows:

(2UR) If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ then $X \cup Y \in \mathfrak{R}$.
(3UR) If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{R}$ and the product XY is unambiguous (i. e., $x_1y_1 = x_2y_2$ for $x_1, x_2 \in X, y_1, y_2 \in Y$ implies $x_1 = x_2, y_1 = y_2$), then $XY \in \mathfrak{R}$.
(4UR) If $X \in \mathfrak{R}$ and X is the basis of free submonoid X^* of M, then $X^* \in \mathfrak{R}$.

We will study rational sets in commutative monoids, so we will use additive notation. In line with this in conditions (3R) and (3UR) XY will be replaced with $X + Y$.

The study of rational sets in a commutative monoid M is simplified by the following notions. A subset

$$X = a + B^*$$

with $a \in M, B \subset M, B$ finite, is called *linear*. If B^* is a free commutative monoid with basis B, then X is called *simple*. If $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_r\}$ is a set of

Key words and phrases. rational sets, free abelian groups, asymptotic density, Ehrhart quasipolynomials.

r elements, then every element $x \in X$ may be written as

$$x = a + n_1 b_1 + \cdots + n_r b_r,$$

where $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. If X is simple, then $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ are unique.

A finite union of linear sets is called *semi-linear*. A finite *disjoint* union of simple sets is called *semi-simple*.

Cleary every semi-linear set is rational and every semi-simple set is unambiguously rational. The converse is also true (see [4]).

It is known that in a free monoid M every rational set is unambiguously rational. The main result of [4] states that in a commutative monoid M every rational set is unambiguously rational, and it follows from [4] that every rational set $R \subseteq M$ can be presented as a semi-simple set.

1.2. **Lattice point counting.** Counting lattice points in the integral dilates of a subset of Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a well known problem. For rational polytopes this problem has been studied in the 1960s by the French mathematician Eugène Ehrhart (see [1]). We recall some basic notions here. A *convex polytope* in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a finite intersection of closed half-spaces, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid A\mathbf{x} \leq b\}, \quad \textit{where } A \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

A bounded convex polytope $\mathcal{P}$ is called *rational* if all of its vertices have rational coordinates. We will call the least common multiple of the denominators of the coordinates of the vertices of $\mathcal{P}$ the *denominator* of $\mathcal{P}$.

We recall that a *quasipolynomial* Q is an expression of the form $Q(t) = c_n(t)t^n + \cdots + c_1(t)t + c_0(t)$, where $c_0, \ldots, c_n$ are periodic functions in t and c_n is not the zero function. The *degree* of Q is n, and the least common period of $c_0, \ldots, c_n$ is the *period* of Q.

For $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ denote $tS = \{t\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in S\}$ the t^{th} dilate of S. We denote the *lattice-point enumerator* for the t^{th} dilates of S by

$$L_S(t) = |tS \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|.$$

The *dimension* of $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is the dimension of the affine space

$$\text{span } S = \{\mathbf{x} + \lambda(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

spanned by S. If a polytope $\mathcal{P}$ has dimension d, we call $\mathcal{P}$ a d-polytope.

Theorem 1.1 ([1], Theorem 3.23)**.** *If $\mathcal{P}$ is a rational convex n-polytope, then $L_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ is a quasipolynomial in t of degree n. Its period divides the denominator of $\mathcal{P}$.*

This result is due to Eugène Ehrhart, in whose honor $L_{\mathcal{P}}$ is called the *Ehrhart quasipolynomial* of $\mathcal{P}$.

The leading coefficient of $L_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ is equal to n-dimensional volume of $\mathcal{P}$, i.e., it is a constant.

We note that there is an algorithm by Alexander Barvinok to compute Ehrhart quasipolynomials. Barvinok's algorithm is polynomial in fixed dimension, it has been implemented in the software package LattE [5].

Let $\mathbf{w_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_n}$ be lineary independent vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The set

$$\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathbf{w_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_n}) = \{\alpha_1 \mathbf{w_1} + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{w_n} \mid \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is called the *lattice* with basis $\{\mathbf{w_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_n}\}$. The number

$$d(\Lambda) = |\det(\mathbf{w_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_n})|$$

is called the *determinant* of the lattice.

We note that theorem 1.1 remains true if we replace the standard integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$ by an arbitrary lattice $\Lambda(\mathbf{w_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_n})$. Indeed, consider the matrix $A = (\mathbf{w_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_n})$ formed by $\mathbf{w_i}$ as columns and let ψ be the linear transformation corresponding to A. Then $\Lambda = \psi(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $\mathbb{Z}^n = \psi^{-1}(\Lambda)$. If $\mathcal{P}$ is a rational n-polytope with respect to the basis $\{\mathbf{w_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_n}\}$, then $\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$ is a rational n-polytope with respect to the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$L_{\mathcal{P},\Lambda}(t) = |\{t\mathcal{P} \cap \Lambda\}| = \left|\{t\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n\}\right|.$$

Given that $\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{P}) = |\det(A)|\mathrm{vol}(\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{P}))$ we get that the leading coefficient of $L_{\mathcal{P},\Lambda}(t)$ is equal to $\frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{P})}{d(\Lambda)}$.

It is known that if $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded convex n-dimensional set with piecewise smooth boundary then its volume can be computed as

$$\mathrm{vol}(S) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{|\mathbb{Z}^n \cap rS|}{r^n}.$$

Hence $|\mathbb{Z}^n \cap rS| \sim \mathrm{vol}(S) r^n$. Arguing as above one can show that for an arbitrary lattice $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathbf{w_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_n})$

$$|\Lambda \cap rS| \sim \frac{\mathrm{vol}(S)}{d(\Lambda)} r^n. \tag{1.1}$$

If S has dimension $d < n$ then $|\Lambda \cap rS| = o(r^n)$.

1.3. **Asymptotic density.** A *stratification* of a countable set T is a sequence $\{T_r\}_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ of non-empty finite subsets T_r whose union is T. Stratifications are often specified by length functions. A *length function* on T is a map $l : T \to \mathbb{N}$ from T to the nonnegative integers $\mathbb{N}$ such that the inverse image of every integer is finite. The corresponding spherical and ball stratifications are formed by *spheres* $S_r = \{x \in T \mid l(x) = r\}$ and *balls* $B_r = \{x \in T \mid l(x) \le r\}$.

Definition 1.2. *The asymptotic density of $M \subset T$ with respect to a stratification $\{T_r\}$ is defined to be*

$$\rho(M) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \rho_r(M), \quad \textit{where} \quad \rho_r(M) = \frac{|M \cap T_r|}{|T_r|}$$

when the limit exists. Otherwise, we use the limits

$$\bar{\rho}(M) = \limsup_{r\to\infty} \rho_r(M), \quad \underline{\rho}(M) = \liminf_{r\to\infty} \rho_r(M),$$

and call them upper and lower asymptotic densities respectively.

Asymptotic density is one of the tools for measuring sets in infinite groups (see [2] for details).

Let $\mathbb{Z}^n$ be a free abelian group of rank n. We identify $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with the standard integer lattice in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. We assume that $\mathbb{R}^n$ is equipped with $\|\cdot\|_p$-norm for some $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. This norm induces the lenght function $l_p : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{N}$ with balls

$$B_{p,r}(\mathbb{Z}) = B_{p,r} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

where $B_{p,r} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|v\|_p \leq r\}$ is the ball of radius r in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$. For $M \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ the corresponding relative frequences are defined by

$$\rho_{p,r}(M) = \frac{|M \cap B_{p,r}|}{|B_{p,r}(\mathbb{Z})|}.$$

We will denote the corresponding densities by ρ_p, $\bar{\rho}_p$ and $\underline{\rho}_p$.

From (1.1) it follows that $|B_{p,r}(\mathbb{Z})| \sim \mathrm{vol}(B_{p,1})r^n$. Thus

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{|B_{p,r+1}(\mathbb{Z})|}{|B_{p,r}(\mathbb{Z})|} = 1. \tag{1.2}$$

We will call ρ_p *invariant* if for any $M \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\rho_p(M) = \rho$ and any $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have $\rho_p(v + M) = \rho$. According to [3, Proposition 2.3] natural density in finitely generated groups is invariant. In particular, $\bar{\rho}_1$ is invariant. A similar arguments show that ρ_p is invariant.

Lemma 1.3. *Asymptotic density ρ_p is invariant for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that $\rho_p(e + M) = \rho_p(M)$, where $e = \pm e_i$ and $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Observe, that $e + (M \cap B_{p,r}) \subseteq (e + M) \cap B_{p,r+1}$. Indeed, if $w = e + m$ where $m \in (M \cap B_{p,r})$ then $\|w\|_p \leq \|e\|_p + \|m\|_p \leq r + 1$, so $w \in B_{p,r+1}$. Hence $|M \cap B_{p,r}| \leq |(e + M) \cap B_{p,r+1}|$.

Also observe, that $(e + M) \cap B_{p,r} \subseteq e + (M \cap B_{p,r+1})$. Indeed, if $w = e + m \in (e + M) \cap B_{p,r}$ then $\|m\|_p = \|w - e\|_p \leq r + 1$, so $m \in B_{p,r+1}$. Hence $|(e + M) \cap B_{p,r}| \leq |M \cap B_{p,r+1}|$.

Combining all the above for $r > 1$ we get

$$\frac{|M \cap B_{p,r-1}|}{|B_{p,r}(\mathbb{Z})|} \leq \rho_{p,r}(e + M) \leq \frac{|M \cap B_{p,r+1}|}{|B_{p,r}(\mathbb{Z})|}. \tag{1.3}$$

From (1.2) it follows that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{|M \cap B_{p,r-1}|}{|B_{p,r}(\mathbb{Z})|} = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{|M \cap B_{p,r+1}|}{|B_{p,r}(\mathbb{Z})|} = \rho_p(M)$$

hence

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \rho_{p,r}(e + M) = \rho_p(M).$$

□

2. Main results

Subgroups in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ are particular type of rational sets. According to [3, Proposition 2.4] in a finitely generated group G natural density of a subgroup H of finite index is equal to $\frac{1}{[G:H]}$. In particular, for a subgroup $H \leq \mathbb{Z}^n$ of finite index $\rho_1(H) = \frac{1}{[\mathbb{Z}^n:H]}$. Observe, that H is a lattice with determinant $d(H) = [\mathbb{Z}^n : H]$, hence by (1.1)

$$\rho_p(H) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{|H \cap B_{p,r}|}{|B_{p,r}(\mathbb{Z})|} = \frac{1}{[\mathbb{Z}^n : H]}.$$

Clearly, if H is of infinite index then $\rho_p(H) = 0$.

It follows from [4] that any rational set R in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ can be presented as a *semi-simple* set

$$R = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (a_i + B_i^*). \tag{2.1}$$

If $\rho_p(B_i^*)$ exists for any B_i^* then by lemma 1.3 and since the union (2.1) is disjoint

$$\rho_p(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho_p(a_i + B_i^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho_p(B_i^*). \tag{2.2}$$

Thus, to compute $\rho_p(R)$ it suffices to compute asymptotic density for free commutative monoids in $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

We recall that a *cone* $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ generated by $\mathbf{w_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_m}$ is a set of the form

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathrm{cone}(\mathbf{w_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_m}) = \{\alpha_1 \mathbf{w_1} + \cdots + \alpha_m \mathbf{w_m} \mid \alpha_i \geq 0, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $B^* \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a free commutative monoid with basis $\{b_1, \ldots, b_k\}$, then $\rho_p(B^*)$ exists and $\rho_p(B^*) > 0$ if and only if $k = n$.*

Proof. Since $\{b_1, \ldots, b_k\}$ is the basis of B^*, if follows that $\{b_1, \ldots, b_k\}$ are lineary independent in $\mathbb{R}^n$, thus $k \leq n$ and we can consider the lattice $\Lambda = \Lambda(b_1, \ldots, b_k)$. Denote $\mathcal{P} = B_{p,1} \cap \mathrm{cone}(b_1, \ldots, b_k)$. Observe, that

$$B^* \cap B_{p,r} = B^* \cap (B_{p,r} \cap \mathrm{cone}(b_1, \ldots, b_k)) = \Lambda \cap r\mathcal{P}.$$

If $p = 1$ or $p = \infty$ then $\mathcal{P}$ is a rational k-polytope and $|\Lambda \cap r\mathcal{P}| = L_{\mathcal{P},\Lambda}(r)$ is its Ehrhart quasipolynomial. Next

$$\rho_{p,r}(B^*) = \frac{|\Lambda \cap r\mathcal{P}|}{|B_{p,r}(\mathbb{Z})|}$$

which implies that $\rho_p(B^*) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \rho_{p,r}(B^*) = 0$ if $k < n$, and if $k = n$

$$\rho_p(B^*) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \rho_{p,r}(B^*) = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{P})}{\mathrm{vol}(B_{p,1}) d(\Lambda)}. \tag{2.3}$$

□

Notice, that (2.3) gives us the method for computing asymptotic density of free commutative monoids.

The following theorem immediately follows from (2.2) and lemma 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. *For any rational subset $R \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ $\rho_p(R)$ exists. If R is given by its semi-simple decomposition $R = \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{k} (a_i + B_i^*)$ then $\rho_p(R) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k} \rho_p(B_i^*)$.*

Example 2.3. We will compute asymptotic density of the free commutative monoid $M = \{(2,1),\ (1,2)\}^* \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$. Denote $v_1 = (2,1),\ v_2 = (1,2)$ and consider the lattice $\Lambda = \Lambda(v_1, v_2)$ with determinant $d(\Lambda) = 3$. By (2.3) we get

$$\rho_1(M) = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_{1,1} \cap \mathrm{cone}(v_1, v_2))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_{1,1}) \cdot d(\Lambda)} = \frac{\frac{1}{6}}{2 \cdot 3} = \frac{1}{36},$$

$$\rho_\infty(M) = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_{\infty,1} \cap \mathrm{cone}(v_1, v_2))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_{\infty,1}) \cdot d(\Lambda)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{4 \cdot 3} = \frac{1}{24}.$$

Thus for different $\|\cdot\|_p$-norms the corresponding asymptotic densities are not necessarily equal.

References

[1] M. Beck, S. Robins, Computing the continuous discretely, Springer, 2007.

[2] A. V. Borovik, A. G. Myasnikov and V. Shpilrain, Measuring sets in infinite groups, in Computational and Statistical Group Theory, Contemporary Math, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 298, pp. 21–42.

[3] J. Burillo, E. Ventura, Counting primitive elements in free groups, Geom. Dedicata 93(2002), pp. 143–162.

[4] S. Eilenberg, M. P. Schützenberger, Rational sets in commutative monoids, J. of Algebra 13(1969), pp. 173–191.

[5] Software package LattE: Lattice-Point Enumeration, Available at https://www.math.ucdavis.edu/latte/

Institute of Mathematics and Information Technologies, Omsk State Dostoevskii University

E-mail address: menshov.a.v@gmail.com